

Erdős-Ko-Rado Type Theorems and Problems in Set Systems

Jiuqiang Liu

Center for Combinatorics, LPMC
Nankai University, Tianjin, 300071, P. R. China
and

Department of Mathematics
Eastern Michigan University
Ypsilanti, MI 48197, USA

第二届组合数学与图论大会

Let $X = [n] = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$

$$\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$$

X^k = the set of all k -subsets of X .

\mathcal{F} = a family of subsets of $X = [n]$

\mathcal{F} is called *intersecting* if every pair of distinct subsets $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$ have a nonempty intersection.

\mathcal{F} is called \mathcal{L} -*intersecting* if $|E \cap F| \in \mathcal{L}$ for every pair of distinct subsets $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$.

A k -uniform intersecting family = an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family for $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, k - 1\}$.

\mathcal{F} is *k-uniform* if it is a collection of k -subsets of X .

Theorem 1 (Erdős, Ko, and Rado, 1961).

Let $n \geq 2k$ and let \mathcal{F} be a k -uniform intersecting family of subsets of $[n]$. Then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

Theorem 2 (Frankl, 1976).

Let $k \geq 2$, $d \geq 2$, and $n \geq dk/(d-1)$. Suppose that $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n]^k$ such that every d sets of \mathcal{F} have a nonempty intersection, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

A d -dimensional simplex is defined as a collection of $d + 1$ sets A_1, A_2, \dots, A_{d+1} such that every d of them have a nonempty intersection, but $A_1 \cap A_2 \cap \dots \cap A_{d+1} = \emptyset$.

A 2-dimensional simplex is called a *triangle*.

Example. $A_1 = \{1, 2\}, A_2 = \{2, 3\}, A_3 = \{1, 3\}$

Conjecture 3 (Erdős, 1971)

For $n \geq \frac{3k}{2}$, if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n]^k$ contains no triangle, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

Conjecture 4 (Chvatal, 1974)

Let $k \geq d + 1 \geq 3$, $n \geq k(d + 1)/d$, and $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n]^k$. If \mathcal{F} contains no d -dimensional simplex, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

第二届组合数学与图论大会

Theorem 5 (Chvatal, 1974).

For $n \geq k + 2 \geq 5$, if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n]^k$ contains no $(k - 1)$ -dimensional simplices, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

Theorem 6 (Frankl and Füredi, 1987).

For $k \geq d + 2 \geq 4$, there exists n_0 such that for $n > n_0$, if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n]^k$ contains no d -dimensional simplices, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

Theorem 7 (Mubayi and Verstraete, 2005)

For $n \geq \frac{3k}{2}$, if $\mathcal{F} \subseteq [n]^k$ contains no triangle, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

Theorem 8 (de Bruijn and Erdős, 1948). If \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of X satisfying $|E \cap F| = 1$ for every pair of distinct subsets $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq n$.

Theorem 9 (Bose, 1949). If \mathcal{F} is a family of subsets of X satisfying $|E \cap F| = \lambda$ for every pair of distinct subsets $E, F \in \mathcal{F}$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq n$.

Theorem 10 (Ray-Chaudhuri and Wilson, 1975).

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers. If \mathcal{F} is a k -uniform \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X , then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s}$.

Example. Let $L = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, s - 1\}$ and \mathcal{F} be the set of all s -subsets of X .

Theorem 11 (Frankl and Wilson, 1981).

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers. If \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X , then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{0}.$$

Example. Let $L = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$ and \mathcal{F} be the set of all s -subsets of X .

第二届组合数学与图论大会

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{F_1, F_2, \dots, F_m\}$ with

$$|F_1| \leq |F_2| \leq \dots \leq |F_m|.$$

Let v_i be the characteristic vector for F_i .

Then $v_i \cdot v_j = |F_i \cap F_j|$.

For each $F_i \in \mathcal{F}$, define a polynomial

$$f_i(x) = \prod_{l_j < |F_i|} (v_i \cdot x - l_j).$$

Then f_i , $1 \leq i \leq m$, are linearly independent multilinear polynomials of degree at most s . Thus

$$|\mathcal{F}| = m \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{0}.$$

Theorem 12 (Alon, Babai, and Suzuki, 1991).

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers and $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ be a set of integers satisfying $k_i > s - r$ for every i . Let \mathcal{F} be an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X such that $|F| \in K$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s} + \binom{n}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n}{s-r+1}.$$

Example. Let $L = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, s-1\}$ and \mathcal{F} be the set of all subsets of X with size at least $s-r+1$ and at most s .

Conjecture 13 (Frankl-Füredi Conjecture, 1984)

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. If \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X , then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{s} + \binom{n-1}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{0}$.

The conjecture was proved by G. Ramanan in 1997.

Conjecture 14 (Snevily, 1994). Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s positive integers. If \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X , then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{s} + \binom{n-1}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{0}$.

The conjecture was proved by H. Snevily in 2003.

Theorem 15 (Chen and Liu). Let $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$ and $K = \{k_1, k_2, \dots, k_r\}$ be a set of integers satisfying $k_i > s - r$ for every i . Let \mathcal{F} be an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X such that $|F| \in K$ for every $F \in \mathcal{F}$. Then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{s} + \binom{n-1}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{s-r+1}.$$

By taking $r = n$, we obtain the following:

Frankl-Füredi Conjecture, 1984

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. If \mathcal{F} is an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X , then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{s} + \binom{n-1}{s-1} + \dots + \binom{n-1}{0}$.

Corollary 16. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, s\}$. If \mathcal{F} is a k -uniform \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of X , then

$$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{s}.$$

a k -uniform intersecting family = an \mathcal{L} -intersecting family for $\mathcal{L} = \{1, 2, \dots, k-1\}$.

By taking $s = k - 1$, Corollary 16 implies:

Erdős-Ko-Rado Theorem. Let $n \geq 2k$ and let \mathcal{F} be a k -uniform intersecting family of subsets of $[n]$. Then

$|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n-1}{k-1}$ with equality only when \mathcal{F} is a star.

第二届组合数学与图论大会

A family \mathcal{F} of subsets of $[n]$ is called t -wise \mathcal{L} -intersecting if $|F_1 \cap F_2 \cap \cdots \cap F_t| \in \mathcal{L}$ for any t distinct members $F_1, F_2, \dots, F_t \in \mathcal{F}$.

Theorem 17. (Furedi and Sudakov, 2004)

Let $\mathcal{L} = \{\lambda\}$ and let $3 \leq t \leq n$. If \mathcal{F} is t -wise \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of $[n]$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \frac{k}{2}n + 1$.

Problem 18. Let $\mathcal{L} = \{l_1, l_2, \dots, l_s\}$ be a set of s nonnegative integers and $t \leq s + 2$. If \mathcal{F} is a k -uniform t -wise \mathcal{L} -intersecting family of subsets of $[n]$, then $|\mathcal{F}| \leq \binom{n}{s}$.

Thank You !